

DARREN HEYMAN,

Plaintiff,

vs.

STATE OF NEVADA EX REL. BOARD OF
REGENTS OF THE NEVADA SYSTEM OF
HIGHER EDUCATION ON BEHALF OF
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, LAS VEGAS, et al.

Defendant.

ORDER

Although Plaintiff provides detailed information regarding his meet and confer efforts with Defendant, he fails to set forth sufficient information or legal authority to allow the Court to make a determination as to specific discovery requests. Plaintiff simply attaches all of his discovery requests as exhibits. He fails to provide any arguments or legal authority as to why Defendant's responses are

1 inadequate pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The Court is, therefore, unable to
2 evaluate the adequacy of Defendant's responses on the merits. Accordingly,

3 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Third Motion to Compel (ECF No. 146) is
4 **denied.**

5 DATED this 11th day of July, 2017.

6
7 
8 GEORGE FOLEY, JR.
9 United States Magistrate Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28